



INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

2395-2636 (Print);2321-3108 (online)

## PLACE OF GRAMMAR IN ELT METHODS AND APPROACHES

**DR. NASIM AKHTAR**

Assistant Professor, Department of English

Gandhi Faiz-e Aam College, Shahjahanpur.



**DR. NASIM AKHTAR**

### ABSTRACT

The place of grammar in the English Language Teaching courses have often kept switching over the centuries depending on the prevalent convention / practice or the dominant theories of language analysis and language learning premised on which several ELT methods were propounded one after the other. Some of the ELT Methods are being discussed below in order to identify the place and role of grammar in the English Language Teaching programmes.

**Keywords:** ELT-English Language Teaching, EFL-English as a Foreign Language, L1-First Language, GT-Grammar Translation Method, TL-Target Language, LSRW-Listening, Speaking, Reading and Writing and CLT-Communicative Language Teaching.

### Grammar – Translation Method

This method ruled the world for more than a century. The main concentration of this method was to help learners to acquire the knowledge of the target language. This method did not focus on spoken form of language.

O'Grady et al. (1993) suggest This method emphasizes reading, writing, translation, and the conscious learning of grammatical rules. Its primary goal is to develop literary mastery of the second language. Memorization is the main learning strategy and students spend their class time talking about the language instead of talking in the language. The curriculum requires the memorization of paradigms, patterns and vocabulary, with translation being used to test the acquired knowledge. Consequently, the role of L1 is quite prominent.<sup>1</sup>

(O'Grady et al., 1993)

The G.T. Method dominated in Europe for foreign language teaching/learning for almost a century ranging from 1840 to 1940. But the earliest course for language learning teaching based on G.T.

method was published by J.C. Fick in South Germany in 1779.

The German Scholars like John Seidenstucker, Karl Plotz, H.S. Ollendorf, and John Meidinger were the main force behind the exploration and implementation of the G.T. Method moreover, an American teacher B.Sears too used this method as Prussian Method from 1845 onwards.

Grammar learning/teaching was totally based on the goal of helping students to read and appreciate foreign language and literature. Interacting grammar learning/teaching second language was used as it was believed, to translate in and out of the target language. The grammar learning/teaching was consisted of the memorization of the rules of the various sentence patterns, various grammar was taught prescriptively guided by the rules of the target language as well as greater emphasis was paid on accuracy.

Mostly the experts of EFL believe that G.T. Method is the invention of eighteenth century and in 19<sup>th</sup> century. They adopted the strategy of liking grammatical rules with translation. G.T. Method has

been accepted by the experts as a role modal and became the ideal method to teach modern languages in the curriculum.

#### Direct Method

This method was based on the assumption that a second language can be learnt in the same manner as a child learns the first language. The direct method facilitated a learning classroom which seems natural and contextual. There was no emphasis on the explicit instruction of grammatical aspect but one-to-one communication got motivated.

The Direct Method emerged as a reaction against the G.T. Method. It was developed in the later nineteenth century when entire world need a language for the communication in different fields like business, traveling, International exchanges, political and economic reasons.

There were many languages spoken in various countries but this was the main hurdle for the people to increase opportunities in different fields. Hence they were looking for a language used in Europe for communication. Hence nineteenth century Direct Method was innovated.

The main objective of the Direct Method is the ability to communicate in the (T.L.) target language. The main focus of this method is to develop their (students) thinking (LSRW) but emphasizes for the correct pronunciation vocabulary also has a great importance than the grammar.

"The Direct Method has one very basic rule: No translation is allowed"<sup>2</sup>

(Diller 1978 : 23).

It emphasized learning of language in a contextual manner and the avoidance of mother tongue was preferred while the meanings were taught through action and demonstration. More emphatically, it was a monolingual approach.

The major contributor for this method were Pendergast and Sanver (1826-1907). They devised a Natural Method which was advice from the G.T. Method. The same method later came to be known as Direct Method. German Scholar I. Frank too deals with the psychological aspect of language learning where they discussed the principle of direct association before you the form and meanings in target language learning.

The role of grammar in the Direct Method was quite in contrast with that of G.T. Method.

Richard and Rodgers (1968, quoted in Geeta Nagraj, p. 78) State that:

Grammar was not taught explicitly and deductively as in the G.T. class but was learned largely through practice. Students were encouraged to draw their own structural generalization from what they had been learning by and inductive process. In this way, the study of grammar was kept at a functional level, being confined to those areas which were continually being used in speech, when grammar was taught more systematically, at a later stage, it was taught in the foreign language with the use of foreign languages terminology.<sup>3</sup>

Richard and Rodgers (1968, quoted in Geeta Nagraj, p. 78)

The presentation of categorized sentence in form of short discourses were meant to improve only communicative ability with greater emphasis on clarity, stress, and pronunciation. Students were encouraged to deduce grammatical rules through the structure presented in the classroom on their own.

#### Audio-lingual Method

This is an extension and modification of the Direct Method. The main goal lies in the development of oral fluency as translation and use of native language who not permitted in language classroom. It worked on the notion of the mechanistic process of habit formation comprising dialogue memorization and pattern drills. It deals with the vocabulary acquisition as well as the drills of grammatical sentence patterns. This method was focused (Nagraj, Geeta, 1996: 79) refers to demonstrate the fact that a language teaching method can be based on rigorous scientific disciplines like linguistics and psychology.

The Audio-lingual Method treated all the form skills separately. The main tool to learn language through this method was dialogue – presentation in language laboratory.

The emergence of Audio-Lingual Method can be traced back to language teaching programme devised in America during the 2<sup>nd</sup> world war. The involvement in the Second World War of America needed a great supply of war personnel fluent in

languages like German, Italian, Chinese, French, and Japanese etc. who can various actions of language.

Charles Fries (1945) of the University of Michigan developed this method using structuralist theory which was later added by the behavioural psychological theories of conditioning by Skinner (1957).

The learning of grammar was not emphasized directly but was learned through the acquisition of various grammatical sentence patterns. All the grammatical points like Direct Method, were deduced after the presentation of sentences pattern in the contextualized discourse.

#### **The Oral – Situational Language Teaching**

In 1920s an approach for language teaching in a systematic way was evolved. This included the mechanized steps of selection, gradation and presentation of language items and context to be practiced in language learning classroom. This approach came to be known as oral approach to language teaching. In oral approach the spoken language item was followed by written forms as these language items were practiced situationally after a brief introduction. Later this principle of situationality became the key feature of oral approach and got a new name as Oral – Situational Approach.

The linguist like Hornby, Palmer was behind the exploration of this method. The linguist like Gurrey, Frisby, Billows and Fittman too contributed emphatically for the development of this method. Especially, Fittman and his colleagues developed a tremendous set of practice material. The approach got its existence in 1920s and 1930s as linguists like Hornby and Palmer worked a lot to set a launch pad of this approach.

The teaching/learning of grammar followed as systematic rule of shifting learning from simple to complex items. The grammar contents were learnt through an oral approach. Grammar was received as the “underlying sentence pattern of spoken language”.<sup>5</sup>

(Richards and Rodgers 1968: 33).

#### **Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)**

This approach argued by O’Grady et al. (1993).

“Merely knowing now to produced a grammatically correct sentence is not enough. A communicatively competent person must also know now to produce and appropriate, natural, and socially acceptable utterance in all contexts of communication ‘Hey’, buddy, you fix my car! is grammatically correct but not as effective in most social context as ‘excuse me, Sir, I was wondering whether I could home my car fixed today ... (communication competence) includes having a grammatical knowledge of the system, ... Knowledge of the appropriateness of language use ... (such as ) socio cultural knowledge, paralinguistic (facial and gestural) and proxemic (special) knowledge, and Sensitivity to the level of language use the certain situations and relationships.”<sup>6</sup>

The major goal of C.L.T. (communicative language Teaching) is to develop communicative ability in language learner by acknowledging the interdependence of language and communication.

Larsen - Freeman, 2004: 121) state as

Although the earlier discussed methods were quite capable of making students utter grammatical accurate sentences in classrooms yet those methods were partially successful in helping students to produce and use sentences appropriately outside of classroom setting. So in 1970s several linguistics and educators started thinking that the production of sentences should be combined with its genuine communicative use as being able to communicate require more than mastery of linguist structures.<sup>7</sup>

The linguists like Widdowson (1978), Wilkins (1976), and Hymes D. (1971) came with the innovations like ‘Use/Usage,’ ‘Communicative functions,’ and ‘Communicative Competence’ respectively.

The role of grammar in communicative language Teaching (CLT) is never central grammar teaching/learning takes place unconsciously while using language in communicative context.

#### **Total Physical Response**

O’Grady, et al. (1993) state as

It takes into consideration the silent period deemed necessary for some L2 Learner. During the first phase of Total Physical Response, students are not required to speak, instead, they concentrate on

obeying simple commands in the second language. These demands eventually become more complex. For example, walk to the door becomes strength you head while you walk to the door, at the back of the classroom. Students later become more actively involved, verbally and creatively. The objective of this approach is to correct physical activity with meaningful language use as a way of instilling concepts.<sup>8</sup>

Thus, this method combines the speech and action and teaches language through physical activities. More clearly, the teacher gives commands and orders the learners to perform the action according to the instruction Richards and Rodgers (1968: 92) suggest as

The psychologist James Asher developed this method combining developmental psychology, learning theory and learning pedagogy. Grammar teaching/ learning takes place inductively when teacher presents sentence structures in contextual manner. Grammatical items are learned unconsciously as they are selected. According to the situation which they can be used in the classroom and the case with which they can be learned.<sup>9</sup>

#### **Eclectic Approach**

Sometimes a single selected method cannot be sufficiently justified to teach a language learners and classrooms unless it fulfills all the needs of the language curricula. If at any stage the methods provide a loophole for insufficiency then it should be supplemented by any other method which can have a strong point at that stage and repair that loopholes. Such type of belief gave a rise to idea of combining all the strong aspects of various methods and getting its compiled into one. This belief got its name as eclectic approach which is a deviance from the rigid guidelines inherent in any method and flexible enough to name a number of remedial steps to be used to run the language classroom successfully. Thus, eclectic approach is a combination of all the best approaches and methods as discussed above.

So far we saw that though there has been sharp opposing views regarding the significance of teaching grammar, it has always been a part of the curriculum with its changing popularity and position with almost all the methods and approaches of

English Language Teaching. In terms of English Language teaching, the teaching of grammar has undergone the following three major shifts in its focus / attention:

- (a) Grammar based,
- (b) Situation based, and
- (c) Function - Notion based

In the elaboration the above three we will see how pedagogic grammar has always been a part of the course.

#### **(a) Grammar Based**

The History of English Language Teaching has witnessed the maximum dominance of grammar-based. English Language courses. Under the aegis of the Traditional Approach – Grammar-Translation Method – the teaching of grammar was so crucial that it almost became synonymous to language teaching. This phase of dominance of grammar in language teaching was continued for centuries, until the emergence of modern linguistics. Modern linguistics look that language in a new perspective and hence a change was brought into the language teaching methodologies.

Hence, the traditional Latin modalled Grammar-Translation Method was replaced by a Modern, Structuralism – based teaching methodologies. Such a replacement brought in obvious changes in the general outlook, approach and theorization of the methods. But so far a quantum of the amount of grammar items available in the above mentioned methods remained the same with a slight change in the handling of the grammar items in the shape of teaching techniques and strategies in the actual classroom situation; for instance prescriptivism was replaced by the descriptivism.

Hence grammar continued to maintained its central position from the tradition. Grammar-Translation Method to the American Audiolingualism and the British Oral-Situational Method. These methods were based on the assumption that language is a set of rules/language is a system of systems. This type of dominance of grammar was reflected in the material's tool produced at those points of time.

**(b) Situation Based**

By the mid to the 18<sup>th</sup> century the diction changed and linguists and teachers came to believe that language is a means of communication rather than being a major set of rules. Hence having assure that language is used in certain situations in the real life of the researchers premised the language teaching methods of materials on the expected situations of language use. Therefore, the units and chapters of the situation based causes listed such items/titles as “In the bank”, “At the Airport”, “At the railway station”, “In the office” etc. These chapters and units included a coverage of grammar and lexical items related to the situations.

The situation based courses did not include all the common place grammatical items, rather included and stressed in such items which were expected to be required by the learners after the completion of the course.

**(c) Function – Notion Based**

The consolidation of the communicative approach made the researchers and linguists realise that the situational approach had certain major practical limitations. For instance it is difficult to the edict the situations which the learners are expected to face after the completion of the course in their real lives. Secondly, even if the situations are listed, it will be practically impossible to teach each one of them in the classroom situations. Hence the situation based model was replaced by the one based on function and notion. This model was premised on the assumption that language is used in the society to perform certain functions and that language is a means of communication.

Here the materials came up with such titles and as “describing persons and places,” “giving and taking instructions,” “giving directions,” “writing reports,” “writing letters of thanks, regret, condolence, greetings,” etc. under the heads of these titles the related grammatical vocabulary items are talked. For instance an attempt is made to teach simple present tense and statements/assertive sentences while teaching prescriptions, imperative sentence and sequence words while teaching instructions; and use of passive voice while teaching writing reports.

**CONCLUSION**

In the above discussion – in terms of language teaching methods and even in terms of the shifts in language teaching courses – we saw that grammar has always been a cardinal part of the language teaching and learning process. Such a situation maintained the importance and the significance of the role and place of the pedagogic grammar.

**REFERENCES**

- 1 O’ Grady et al. (1993) *Contemporary Linguistics: An Introduction*, St. Martin’s Press, New York.
- 2 Diller, Karl C. (1978:23). *The Language Teaching Controversy*. In Larsen-Freeman, D (2004) *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, India
- 3 Geeta, Nagraj. (1996:78) *English Language Teaching: Approaches, Methods; Techniques*. Oriental Longman Ltd. 17-Chithranjan Avenue, Calcutta. India.
- 4 ibid:
- 5 ibid:
- 6 O’ Grady et al. (1993) *Contemporary Linguistics: An Introduction*, St. Martin’s Press, New York.
- 7 D. Larsen-Freeman (2004:21) *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching* (Second Edition) Oxford University Press, New Delhi. India.
- 8 O’ Grady et al. (1993) *Contemporary Linguistics: An Introduction*, St. Martin’s Press, New York.
- 9 Richards, Jack C. and Theodore S. Rodgers. (1968). *Approach and Methods in Language Teaching: A Description and Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, U.K.

**OTHER CONSULTED REFERENCES**

- CIEFL (1995). *Modern English Grammar and Usage*, Block-1, Unit-1, CIEFL, Hyderabad, India.
- Richards, J. et al. (1992). *Longman Dictionary of Applied Linguistics*, Longman, U.K
- R. Dirven (1990). *Pedagogical Grammar*. Language Teaching, Vol.23, No.1, 1-18.
- Dineen, F.P., (1967). *An Introduction to General Linguistics*. 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.

- 
- Widdowson, H.G. (1978) *Teaching Language as Communication*. Oxford University Press, U.K
  - Dirven (1990) In Bygate, M. et. al. (1994) *Grammar and the Language*. Prentice Hall International, U.K.
  - Chalker. (1990). *Pedagogical Grammar : Principles and Problems* (ed.). In Bygate, M. et. al.(1994).*Grammar and the Language*. Prentice Hall International,U.K.
  - West, Michael. (1952). *How much English Grammar?*. In W.R. Lee (1967) 'ELT SELECTIONS-1,' Articles from *English Language Teaching*, Oxford University Press. London.
-