Research Journal of English Language and Literature (RJELAL)
A Peer Reviewed (Refereed) International Journal
Impact Factor 6.8992 (ICI) http://www.rjelal.com;
Email:editorrjelal@gmail.com; ISSN:2395-2636 (P); 2321-3108(O)

Vol.13.Issue 1. 2025 (Jan-March)

RESEARCH ARTICLE

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD SERIAL NUMBER INDIA
2395-2636 (Print):2321-3108 (online)

Ecocide and Ecological Havocs in Amitav Ghosh's *The Glass Palace*

M. Edison[1], Dr. S. Padma Priya[2]
[1]Ph.D Research Scholar in English, Adaikkalamatha College, Vallam, Thanjavur – 613 403. (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University)
[2]Research Advisor and Assistant Professor in English, Adaikkalamatha College, Vallam, Thanjavur – 613 403. (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University)
DOI: 10.33329/rjelal.13.1.222

Article info
Article Received: 02/02/2025
Article Accepted: 19/03/2025
Published online: 27/03/2025

Abstract

Amitav Ghosh's *The Glass Palace* explores the devastating impact of colonial greed on both people and the environment. Through the vivid portrayal of the exploitation of Burma's teak forests, rubber plantations, and oil wells, the novel reveals how imperial ambitions strip the land of its vitality and leave behind scars that outlast colonial rule. Ghosh's descriptions depict forests collapsing under the weight of logging, rivers turned into highways of exploitation, and hills ravaged by oil drilling. Along this ecological destruction, the novel highlights the human cost: labourers, driven to exhaustion and disease, endure harsh conditions for the colonial machine. Yet, Ghosh presents nature as a force of resistance—trees refuse to yield easily, rivers reclaim their power, and landscapes "fight back" against human domination. Through characters and settings that bear witness to this upheaval, the novel portrays how colonial extraction reduces vibrant ecosystems into desolate remnants. This paper aims at analysing the novel in an ecocritical perspective.

Keywords: Colonial Exploitation, Ecological Devastation, Human Labour and Nature, Environmental Resistance, Resource Extraction.

1. Introduction

The Glass Palace offers a true portrayal of the environmental and human cost of colonial exploitation. The novel is set across the landscapes of Burma, India, and Malaya. It reveals how imperial ambitions devastate not only the land but also the lives intricately tied to it. Forests are felled for teak, jungles are transformed into rubber plantations, and hills are ravaged for oil, leaving behind scars that outlast colonial rule. Through this destruction, Ghosh reveals the deep connection between ecological ruin and human suffering, where labourers are worn down beside the very resources they extract. At the same time, Ghosh's novel moves beyond lamentation. He portrays nature as a force of quiet resistance, where forests refuse to yield easily, rivers reclaim their course, and plantations reveal their

defiance, as though the land itself pushes back against human domination. This challenges the colonial belief in nature as a resource to be subdued, emphasizing its resilience and power to reassert itself. The novel reflects on the enduring consequences of colonial greed by integrating history, environmental critique, and individual stories. Ghosh urges readers to see the delicate balance between humanity and the natural world. He emphasizes that the damage we cause to nature inevitably impacts future generations of human life.

2. Exploitation of Natural Resources: The Teak Industry

The colonial exploitation of Burma's natural wealth finds its starkest manifestation in the systematic logging of teak wood, a resource of immense economic and strategic value. In the novel Amitav Ghosh transforms the teak industry into a powerful symbol of ecological devastation and the insatiable greed that drives imperial conquest. The British demand for teak reduces Burma's lush forests—once teeming with life—into lifeless commodities to fuel industrial ambitions. Matthew's words sum up the exploitative motives behind the British invasion of Burma: "The English are preparing to send a fleet up the Irrawaddy. There's going to be a war. Father says they want all the teak in Burma. The King won't let them have it, so they're going to do away with him". This indicates how colonial powers would go to any lengths—waging war and toppling monarchies—to seize control of natural resources. Rajkumar's sceptical response—"A war over wood? Who's ever heard of such a thing?"— critique imperial priorities. Ghosh highlights the tragic irony: for colonial economies, teak becomes more valuable than human lives, entire kingdoms, or the ecosystems it decimates. The violent destruction of Burma's forests is rendered with haunting clarity: "The killing was achieved with a girdle of incisions...The assassinated trees were left to die where they stood...unloosing thunderclap explosions that could be heard miles away". Ghosh's choice of words— "killing," "assassinated," and "thunderclap explosions"— personifies the trees, transforming the felling of teak into an act of murder. This language forces the reader to confront the brutality of ecological destruction, portraying the forest as a living, breathing entity that suffers at the hands of human exploitation. The "explosions" not only mark the physical collapse of trees but also signal the collapse of a delicate ecological balance. The use of elephants, creatures once revered in Burmese culture, further highlights the shift in humanity's relationship with nature. These majestic animals, once symbols of spirituality and power, are reduced to labor tools, instrumental in dragging logs down the mountains to the chaungs (streams): "Dragged to the banks of chaungs, the logs were piled into stacks and left to await the day when the chaungs would awaken from the hibernation of the hot season". Here, Ghosh vividly conveys the scale of human intervention in natural systems. The process of girdling trees, leaving them to dry for years, and using elephants to transport the massive logs shows the organized, almost industrialized, exploitation of nature. Even the seasonal rhythms of the chaungs—once symbols of renewal—are manipulated to serve colonial economic goals. In this portrayal, Ghosh not only critiques the British commodification of natural resources but also unveils the environmental consequences of imperial greed. The scars left on the landscape—barren slopes, deforested plains, and disrupted waterways—serve as lasting evidence of an ecological catastrophe, one that continues to resonate beyond the colonial period. By intertwining history and ecology, Ghosh ensures that the teak industry becomes more than a historical footnote; it stands as a sobering reminder of how colonialism's pursuit of profit destroys the very lifelines that sustain human and non-human life alike.

3. War and Environmental Destruction

The British invasion of Burma, under the guise of political disputes and economic

control over teak, destroys not only human societies but also the natural environment. Ghosh emphasizes how war becomes a catalyst for ecological and cultural ruin. The British military's efficiency in conquest contrasts sharply with the disarray and collapse it inflicts upon the landscapes of Burma and her people. The destruction of the fort at Myingan is a symbol of both military dominance and environmental neglect: "The British had destroyed the fort at Myingan with immaculate precision, using their cannon, without losing a single soldier...The army had disintegrated; the soldiers had fled into the mountains". Here, Ghosh highlights the ruthless power of industrial warfare. The fort, once a bastion of Burma's resilience, falls without resistance. This stresses the imbalance of power between colonizers and colonized. Yet this conquest comes at a price far beyond military defeat. The destruction upsets the harmony of both natural and built environments. Forts, which symbolize cultural heritage and human ingenuity, crumble under the force of cannon fire. They leave behind ruins that echo ecological devastation. Amid this larger chaos, Ghosh captures the anarchy that follows war—a disorder that extends to the exploitation of resources and the desecration of sacred spaces: "Armed with axes and das; they were hacking at gem-studded Ook offering boxes; digging patterned gemstones from the marble floor". The looting of the palace reflects how war fractures the moral and social fabric of society. Once-revered treasures, connected to Burma's cultural identity, become objects of greed, stripped violently from their context. This scene mirrors the environmental plunder occurring on a larger scale, where land, forests, and rivers—integral to both ecology and culture—are reduced to commodities or casualties of imperial ambition. Ghosh also draws a subtle parallel between physical destruction of war and its psychological toll on people. Rajkumar's later confusion when witnessing grief highlights his detachment from the collective bonds that tie individuals to their land, culture, and environment: "Now, looking on either side, he could see that every face was streaked with tears...Rajkumar recognized several people from the looting of the night before". This shows the deeper damage caused by war: a rupture in the connection between humans and their natural or symbolic surroundings. People who looted one day mourn their losses the next. This shift highlights a breakdown in unity, identity, and reverence for their shared space. The war also exacerbates environmental harm through direct human intervention and destruction. Entire landscapes—forests, rivers, and settlements—are scarred by military activity, artillery fire, and displaced populations. Ghosh's descriptions force readers to confront the cumulative impact of imperial conquest, where the land itself becomes both a victim and a battlefield. In the novel, war is not simply a political conflict but an assault on an entire ecosystem. Ghosh shows how militarized modernity not only collapses nations but also leaves behind a trail of ecological scars and shattered cultural heritage. The scenes of looting and destruction remind us that the consequences of war extend far beyond its immediate moment. They leave behind ruins, both natural and human, that take generations to heal.

4. Human Toll and Industrialization: Exploiting Labour and Landscape

Colonial exploitation of natural resources goes hand in hand with the relentless exploitation of human labour. Amitav Ghosh highlights how industries such as teak logging and rubber plantations thrive on the backs of labourers, whose lives are considered expendable. These workers are trapped in cycles of toil, disease, and premature decay, their suffering becoming as normalized as the destruction of the landscapes they work on. Saya John's grim observation reveals the brutal reality faced by young labourers in the teak camps: "They will have to be posted off to city offices...these men will be prematurely aged, old at twenty-one". Ghosh's focus on the physical toll of industrial labor highlights the

dehumanizing essence of colonial enterprises. Young men, pushed to their limits in hostile conditions, succumb to tropical diseases like malaria and dengue or the sheer physical exhaustion of felling colossal trees. The colonial machinery extracts value not only from natural resources but also from human bodies, treating workers as disposable tools whose utility is measured solely by profit. Through Rajkumar's journey, Ghosh explores the moral ambiguity of survival under such systems. Orphaned and desperate, Rajkumar eventually becomes complicit in the very exploitation he once observed. His rise in the teak trade mirrors the entrenched acceptance of exploitation, where personal gain is prioritized over ethical concerns. The rubber plantations offer a parallel narrative of human and ecological subjugation. Ghosh's depiction of these plantations as mechanized systems of profit is chilling: "It's like stepping into a labyrinth...a vast machine, made of wood and flesh". The imagery of a labyrinth evokes a sense of entrapment, where both land and labourers are controlled and consumed by colonial industries. The transformation of lush jungles into rigid, geometrically ordered plantations robs the land of its natural vitality. This eerie uniformity reflects the colonial imposition of artificial order on nature, reducing vibrant ecosystems to lifeless factories. Ghosh focuses on the human cost of industrialization. The plantations become sites of relentless struggle, as workers endure unbearable conditions, their efforts constantly resisted by the very land they reshape. The plantation system demands not only physical sacrifice but also mental and emotional detachment. Workers are alienated from both the environment they exploit and the lives they labour to sustain. Ghosh also reveals how colonial exploitation fractures communities and disrupts traditional relationships with the land. In the teak camps, skilled elephant handlers, or oo-sis, are shown as once-proud custodians of knowledge, now reduced to cogs in a colonial system: "Until the Europeans came none of them had ever thought of using elephants for the purposes of logging...It was they who invented everything we see around us in this logging camp". This passage reflects the erasure of indigenous practices and wisdom, replaced by methods geared solely toward efficiency and profit. Colonial systems change landscapes and ways of life by forcing communities into servitude and erasing their cultural identities. Ghosh highlights the deep link between ecological destruction and human exploitation under colonial rule. By turning land into commodities and workers into tools, the colonial enterprise creates a landscape of suffering—one where both nature and humanity are stripped of dignity, vitality, and autonomy.

5. Nature's Resistance: The Forest "Fights Back"

Ghosh depicts nature as an active, defiant force rather than a passive victim. This challenges the colonial view of nature as merely a resource to be conquered and exploited. Ghosh uses vivid imagery and symbolic narratives to show how the land, forests, and rivers resist human efforts to impose order, asserting their untamed power. This defiance is most evident in the rubber plantations, where cultivation becomes a constant struggle. Matthew reflects on this resistance: "The tappers know better. They have a saying, you know—'Every rubber tree in Malaya was paid for with an Indian life.'...It's fighting back". Here, Ghosh gives voice to the labourers' deep connection with the land and their recognition of its retaliatory spirit. The trees become more than lifeless commodities; they are living entities that exact a toll on those who exploit them. The saying becomes a haunting reminder that ecological interference has consequences, demanding sacrifice in return. Similarly, Ghosh's depiction of the teak forests echoes this resistance through the chaotic imagery of flooding streams: "Logs would go cannoning into one another, adding to the weight...a tidal wave of wood and water would wash down the slopes". The scenes of cannoning logs, tangled

dams, and destructive floods highlight nature's power to retaliate when pushed to its limits. The same rivers that serve colonial ambitions—by floating timber downstream—become instruments of destruction, reclaiming their agency. This chaotic movement is both destructive and restorative, as it disrupts human systems while reasserting the natural order.

Ghosh also reveals the psychological impact of this resistance. The mechanized exploitation of forests and plantations begins to unravel as the land refuses to yield easily. The uniformity of the rubber plantations—initially seen as a triumph of colonial control—masks an ongoing struggle. Matthew's observation further emphasizes this: "To look at, it's all very green and beautiful—sort of like a forest. But actually it's a vast machine...and at every turn, every little piece of this machine is resisting you, fighting you, waiting for you to give in". This passage shows the illusion of control over nature. Beneath the surface of ordered rows of rubber trees lies a constant, invisible struggle where the forest resists being stripped of its natural identity. The trees become symbols of quiet rebellion, "waiting" for the system to collapse under its own weight. The idea that nature "fights back" is not just metaphorical but reflects the unpredictable consequences of ecological interference. Whether through deadly working conditions, treacherous floods, or the physical toll on labourers, Ghosh suggests that the environment cannot be subjugated without consequences. Through these portrayals, Ghosh challenges the colonial ideology that nature exists solely for human domination. The forests, rivers, and plantations represent a force that resists being exploited. This shows that ecological balance cannot be reached through exploitation. As Ghosh shows, nature is not just a passive victim, but a powerful force that will eventually take back what belongs to it.

6. Legacy of Colonial Greed and Environmental Ruin

Ghosh delivers a sobering meditation on the enduring consequences of colonial exploitation, where the land and its people are stripped of vitality for imperial profit. The novel reveals how the unchecked plunder of natural resources leaves behind a legacy of desolation—both ecological and socio-economic—that persists long after the colonizers depart. Queen Supayalat's prophetic lament crystallizes this irreversible destruction: "They took our kingdom, promising roads and railways and ports...In a few decades the wealth will be gone—all the gems, the timber and the oil—and then they too will leave...All that will remain is destitution and ignorance, famine and despair". The Queen's words foretell the true cost of colonial "progress"—an illusion built on the extraction of finite resources, where promises of development unravel into decay and poverty. Her lament strongly criticizes Europe's greed, revealing how imperial powers drained entire nations while pretending to modernize them. Railways and roads, symbols of colonial triumph, become pathways to ecological and cultural ruin rather than engines of prosperity. Ghosh extends this critique by illustrating the transformation of Burma's natural wealth into mere commodities. Forests become reduced to teak logs, rivers become conduits of exploitation, and oil-rich hills are stripped bare. The meticulous depiction of this process stresses its systematic and unsustainable nature. The once-thriving landscapes are left scarred: "The hillside looked as though it had been racked by a series of disasters: huge stretches of land were covered with ashes and blackened stumps". The visual imagery here evokes a battlefield, where the land itself bears witness to the violence of colonial extraction. The ashes and stumps symbolize not only ecological devastation but also the loss of cultural memory tied to these spaces. What was once abundant and life-giving becomes a lifeless shadow of its former self. Further, Ghosh shows how colonial greed

fosters systemic inequality, where the land's resources enrich foreign powers while impoverishing local communities. The oil fields of Yenangyaung provide a moving example: "Wooden obelisks began to rise on the hillocks, cage-like pyramids...hammering ceaselessly on the earth". Here, the mechanical exploitation of oil disrupts both the physical landscape and the traditional livelihoods of the twin-zas, Burma's indigenous oil gatherers. Foreign companies buy up wells, replacing sustainable practices with aggressive drilling. This effectively displaces generations of local knowledge and labour. The image of "cage-like pyramids" reinforces the inescapable domination of imperial forces, which imprison the land's natural rhythms within structures of profit-driven destruction.

Ghosh emphasizes that this colonial legacy is not confined to the past but continues to shape modern realities. The wealth extracted—gems, timber, oil, and rubber—becomes a fleeting victory, leaving behind barren forests, eroded hills, and impoverished communities. This ecological imbalance perpetuates cycles of poverty and displacement, ensuring that the colonized bear the burden of imperial ambition for generations. By blending historical precision with evocative imagery, Ghosh urges readers to recognize the human and environmental cost of such greed. The novel serves as both a tribute to the exploited landscapes of Burma and a warning about the dangers of unsustainable resource extraction. As Queen Supayalat warns, the biggest crime of colonialism is not just what it takes, but what it leaves behind: ruin, despair, and a broken connection between people and their land.

7. Conclusion

Amitav Ghosh brings together history and ecology to reveal the enduring scars left by colonial exploitation. The novel shows the devastating transformation of Burma's forests, rivers, and hills as they are stripped of their natural vitality to fuel imperial greed. Beyond the felling of teak and the regimented rubber plantations lies a deeper tragedy: the disruption of a once harmonious relationship between humans and the environment. Ghosh shows that the devastation goes beyond nature, reflecting in the suffering of the labourers, whose lives are crushed by the same system of exploitation. However, he does not depict nature as passive or defeated. Instead, he shows the land as resistant, with rivers flooding, forests fighting back, and plantations revealing dangers to those who try to change them. Through this quiet defiance, nature warns against unchecked human ambition. Ghosh encourages us to rethink our relationship with nature—not as something to conquer, but as something to respect, protect, and sustain.

Works Cited

Basu, Ranjit. "The Novels of Amitav Ghosh." *London Magazine*, Aug.–Sep. 1997.

Bose, Brinda. "Introduction." *Amitav Ghosh: Critical Perspectives*. New Delhi: Pencraft International, 2003.

Chaudhuri, S. "Translating Loss: Place and Language in Amitav Ghosh and Salman Rushdie." 2010.

Desiree Ann, A., Minny, J., & Dhanalakshmi, D. "Interpreting the Silence in Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Purple Hibiscus*." *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 17(4), 2021, pp. 2835-2838

Devi, Yesodha K., "Empowerment of Women as Portrayed in Amitav Gosh's *Sea of Poppies*" *Sambodhi* 43 (4 (VII)), Dec. 2020, pp. 91-93.

Dhawan, R.K. *The Novels of Amitav Ghosh*. New Delhi: Prestige Books, 1999.

Ghosh, Amitav. *The Glass Palace*. New Delhi: Ravi Dayal, 2000.

Hawley, John C. *Contemporary Indian Writers in English: Amitav Ghosh*. New Delhi: Cambridge University Press India Pvt. Ltd., 2005.

Mahanata, N.R. "History in Respect: Amitav Ghosh's *The Glass Palace*." *Literary Criterion*, June 2003.

Mukherjee, Meenakishi. "Of Love, War and Empire." *Indian Review Books*, Oct. 2000.

Nair, Rukmini Bhaya. "Amitav Ghosh's *The Glass Palace*: The Road from Mandalay Reflections." *Amitav Ghosh: A Critical Companion*, edited by Tabish Khair, New Delhi: Permanent Black, 2003.

Nithyasekar, S., and L. Rajesh. "Myth and History in Amitav Ghosh's *The Circle of Reason*." *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, vol. 17, no. 4, 2021, pp. 3229–3234